

Large-Sample Inference and Frequency Properties of Bayesian Inference:

- Home Work 2 – discussion

Normal Approximations to the posterior distribution

- Joint posterior
 - Convenient to approximate a unimodal and roughly symmetric posterior density by a normal distribution, centered at the mode.
 - Log posterior approximated as a quadratic function by a Taylor Series expansion.

$$\log p(\mathbf{q} | y) = \log p(\hat{\mathbf{q}} | y) + \frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{q} - \hat{\mathbf{q}})^T \left[\frac{d^2}{d\mathbf{q}^2} \log p(\mathbf{q} | y) \right]_{\mathbf{q}=\hat{\mathbf{q}}} (\mathbf{q} - \hat{\mathbf{q}}) + \dots$$

- (Mode must be in the interior of the parameter space, and assume that the derivatives exist.)
 - First order term is zero since the first derivative at the mode is zero.
- Normal distribution with Unknown mean and variance
 - Using non-informative prior on $(\mathbf{m}, \log \mathbf{s})$, expand its posterior around the mode and approximate it by a joint normal
- Interpretation of the posterior density function relative to the density at the mode
- Summarizing posterior distributions by point estimates and standard errors
 - Transformations to an appropriately defined functions of the parameters can improve the normal approximation
- Data reduction and summary statistics
 - Posterior mode and its curvature at the mode
 - If normal approximation not good, these summaries may be misleading.

- Lower dimensional normal approximations
 - For a finite sample size, the normal approximation is typically more accurate for conditional and marginals than for the full joint dist
 - Marginalizing leads to averaging over all other components of the parameter vector.
 - Bioassay Experiment
 - Approximation in the main body may be OK.
 - May lose some of the tail features (skewness, and tail approximation)

Large-Sample Theory

- Amount of data from some fixed sampling distribution, $f(y)$, increases
- Asymptotic normality of the posterior distribution, even if the true distribution of the data is not within the parametric family under consideration
 - Modeled likelihood $p(y|\mathbf{q})$ and prior $p(\mathbf{q})$
 - If $f(y)$ belongs to this family for some \mathbf{q}_0 , then in addition to asymptotic normality, ‘consistency’ also holds.
 - If not, then the \mathbf{q}_0 is replaced by a density in the class, that is closest to the true $f(y)$ in the Kullback Leibler distance.
 - The Fisher information $J(\mathbf{q})$ is an important component of the asymptotic distribution
- Asymptotic Normality and Consistency
 - Appendix B has the proof under some regularity conditions
 - Continuous function of the parameter and the true \mathbf{q}_0 is not on the boundary of the parameter space, twice differentiable
 - Express the second derivative at the mode in terms of the derivatives of the prior and the log-likelihood
 - As n increases the second term converges to its expectation
 - Likelihood dominates the prior in asymptotic sense
 - So with large amount of data, eliciting prior is not that important
 - For small amount of data, prior is critical

- With no data, quality of expert knowledge is highly relevant

Counter Examples to the Theorems

- Under-identified and non-identified parameters
 - Likelihood is same for many different points
 - Recognize the problem exists and get more info or put some constraints on the parameter space
- Number of parameters increasing with sample size
 - Neyman-Scott Problem
- Aliases
 - Mixture models may have two modes
- Unbounded likelihood
 - Mode does not exist
- Improper Prior distributions
 - May lead to improper posterior
- Priors that the point of convergence
- Convergence to the edge of the parameter space
- Approximation not good in the tails

Frequency evaluation of Bayesian Inference

- Large Sample correspondence leads to normal approximation
- Similar interpretation as Frequency theory inference
 - Consistency
 - Efficiency
 - Decision analysis
 - Asymptotic unbiasedness
 - Confidence coverage